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Me passes onward through the land (under the metaphor of the wind). The two words 5 M and 7 ע occur again in Is. 8, 8, being said of the Assyrian armies under the figure of an overwhelming flood
 storms, the first in Is. 2r, ( (

 renders the 75 ; in the present case, it may render a Piel privatum םש゙N. The V has "corruet", reading some form of (=be desolated, appalled), perhaps Divily or become guilty) seems to be corrupted, if it is not an addition as this is favored by the irregularity of the Versions and its inconvenience in the meaning. A convenient rendering is that which is based on the transfer of the verb, concerned here, to the next clause: "but guilty is he whose strength is his god", i. e. one who deifies his own power incurs moral guilt. Such a transfer and emendation of the word $\square E N$ to ${ }^{\text {y }}$ (Grätz, Wellhausen, Nowack, Marti ${ }^{62}$, Duhm $^{63}$ ) gives a better translation. The consequence of the tenses, violated in the-M, is restored by this reading and helps for a further understanding of the following clause. Stonehouse, seeing that the states of what the Chaldean is and not what he does, follows the $\mathrm{V}^{04}$. Doing so, Stonehouse forgot that the Hebrew $\square \boldsymbol{W}$ has not an active meaning and that the Latin "corruet" may be passive.
62. At. Stonehouse, op.cit. p. 178. See also Expositor's Bible, p. 135 .
63. Op. cif., p. 28. See also K.
64. Stonehouse, op. cit., p. 178.

IT The V follows literally the M. The LXX omits the suffix in 1 בת in the next v.). The word 11 is here used as a demonstrative (cf. Ps. I2,8 (\%) ; it is more often a relative (see RV "he whose might is his god"). Stonehouse gives a fair rendering: "this one-his strength is his god", taking the "1t a demonstrative casus pendens ${ }^{65}$ rather than a case of apposition (Davidson, Driver) ${ }^{86}$. Duhm omits is and reads the trimeter which occurs only bere with a suffix ${ }^{67}$. Ward gives two readings: 10 M fice to his god (cp. Nu. 31,19, 24, 50. I S. 15, 15, 21 of the sacrifices for purification after a battle when the soldiers were considered ce-
 his god ${ }^{68}$. The reading on which I based my translation is 11 aw בחו ; it is conjectural but not far - fetched. For such pride and self-glorification, cp. Is. 14, 14. 47,7. Dan. 4,30. Thus Mezentius the despiser of the gods, speaks in Virgil "Dextra mihi deus et telum, quod missile libro, nunc adsint" Aen., X. 773; cp. Statius "Virtus mihi namen, et ensis, quem teneo" Theb., III $615{ }^{63}$.
V. II is made up of two tetrameters.

VV. 12-17. This part is a renewed appeal of the prophet to his God: if "יהוה is righteous and mighty, why does he allow the wicked to devour the righteous? The invader who was appointed by God to punish the wrongdoers in Israel appears as a rapacious and inhuman enemy, bringing destruction to the righteous as well as to the sinners. The advanced Chaldean action dates the passage at about the time of the first captivity ( $597 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.$) . The topical analysis of this$ action is as follows: v. 12 The prophet's assurance in Yahweh. VV. 13- I6 The prophet's difficulty. V. I7 The prophet's question. Giesebrecht, Wellhausen, Marti and Nowack put this oracle after that in vy. $1,2-4$ and date it in exilic or post-exilic times. Budde and Cornill put that also after $1,2,4$ but they refer it to Assyria's

[^0]oppression of Judah ${ }^{70}$. Smith refers it to Assyria's or rather Egypt's oppression "1. Stonehouse takes it as a continuation of the prophet's remonstrance ${ }^{72}$. According to Wade this section is a continuation of the section vv. $2 \cdot 4$, the sequence being interrupted by the quotation from an earlier oracle contained in vv. 5-1I ${ }^{78}$, and dates after 597 and possibly after (though not long after) $587^{74}$. Other opinions are similar to these already mentioned ${ }^{25}$.
V. 12

האוא אתה מקדם יהוה אצהי קרשי צא עמות יהוה למשםט שמחו וצור צחוכיח יםדחו:

 таı $\delta$ eíav aủroṽ.

V Numquid non tu a principio Domine Deus meus, sancte meus, et non moriemur? Domine in judicium posuisti eum : et fortem, ut corriperes, fundasti eum.

T Art not thou from of old, O Lord? My holy God, we shall not die! O Lord, thou hast made him for judgement! And thou, $O$ Rock, hast established him for chastisement.

הצוא וג' The opening question should end at the fourth word
 which should become the predicate of the clause. Cp. Mic. 5, 1
 LXX puts the interrogation after 'קדש; the $V$ after The prophet recalls to the mind of God His immutability. To the question an affirmative answer is expected. The unchangeableness is one ground of confidence in the corrective and not the destructive nature of the chastisement.

 (=my God, my Holy one). The V renders the M. In the

[^1]74. Ibid., p. 150.
75. Stonehouse, op. cif, p. $65 \mathrm{ff} .$, where a systematic exposition of several views is found.
translation given above I followed the LXX. The prophet speaks in the person of the righteous people and he refers to God's holiness (adapted from Isaiah's title of Yahweh : 5ی゙ש" Israel', Is. r,4 et al.) as a second ground of hope, because, although God must punish sin, He will not let the sacred nation, the chosen guardian of the faith and the Covenant, perish utterly. He then expresses the following confidence.

תוaj some scholars (Ewald, Kuenen, Nöldeke, Ward et al.) ${ }^{76}$ agree with the Jewish commentator Rashi thinking that חוas N is a
 on account of the mere verbal association of the word $\operatorname{lin}$ (=die) with God. Duhm holds to the rabbinical opinion, reading however
 al text, expressing the very consolation to which the prophet's confidence leads. Since Yahwel is Israel's Holy one, He is a guarantee against the people's annihilation at the hands of the Chaldeans.

ותמש 9 . 9 . The thought passes through the prophet's mind that the Chaldean is appointed by God to execute His punishment on Israel (cp. Jer. 30, Ir. 46,28 ). According to Ward the second couple of the
 pates the answer to the complaint which it interrupts. It was added to explain God's providence" "8.
(גו as the unchangeable support or refuge of His people (cp. Deut. 32,4, I5, 18, 30, 37. II S. 23,3. Ps. 18,2, 3I. 37, 19, 14 etc. See also Num.
 reading 'ים (a form of ${ }^{7}$ " admonish, which is found nowhere in Hebrew) for ${ }^{1775}$. Jerome says that the reading of the LXX is meant in the person of the prophet announcing his call and office ${ }^{79}$. Duhm reads the trimeter 7 ? incer :
76. Intern. Crit. Comm., p. 12. Cp. also AT.

78. Intern. Crit. Comm., p. in.
79. At The Pulpit Comm., p. 4.
80. Op. cit., p. 30.

Chaldean is again the instrument of chastisement which here is defined as corrective. He is, like the Assyrian, the rod of God's anger (Is. 10,5 ff.). The thought, however, of a holy God correcting His people's shortcomings is not entertained by the prophet's mind for more than a moment. It is succeeded by perplexion arising from God's everlastingness and holiness uncompromised as they are to the overdone work of the oppressors; what, already, the Chaldean discharges is no correction but destruction.
V. 12 contains two tetrameters and two trimeters ${ }^{91}$.
V. I 3
 בוגדים תחריש בבלע רשע צעדיק מעפו :




V Mundi sunt oculi tui, ne videas malum ; et respicere ad iniquitatem non poteris: quare respicis super iniqua agentes, et taces devorante impio justiorem se?

T Too pure in eyes art thou to see evil and thou canst not gaze upon wrongdoing. Why then dost thou gaze upon faithless men, and keep silent when the wicked swallows up him that is more righteous than himself?
(= pure in eyes). The word "too" in my translation comes from the comparative $\mu$ in the following תוא אוגי לי בי 6,5 is gen. of specification (for other examples see Is.
 qualifies directly the $\begin{aligned} & \text { עיגים instead of the meant and, because }\end{aligned}$ the 7 שוט is in the singular, it reads $\boldsymbol{D}^{\boldsymbol{\square}}$. The same meaning might begiven by-identification of the $\square$ of the plural to the first letter of the next word. Besides that the of the plural might fall out as the same to the first letter of the next word ${ }^{82}$. The $V$ according to the $M$ qualifies God. The fact that God by His nature is opposite to all that
 sible the unconcern with which He appears to look on the Chaldean, outraging all right and justice.

81. Westm. Comm., p. 170. Duhm, op. cit., p. 30.
82. Duhm, op. cit., p. 32.
 the second 'too pure in eyes to see evil" (cp. Gen. 4, iz etc.).
'והכים One would expect the LXX to refer this clause directly to the "eye", which, consequently, would be the subject of the infin. $\boldsymbol{u}^{\prime}$ : the subject of הביט is God. The word $8 \pi i$ renders probably the
 clerical error. Rahlfs puts $\delta v v^{\prime} \sigma_{n}$ in the text after MS A et al ${ }^{\text {s3 }}$. For the construction of the verb 5 , with infin. see Is. 57,20 . Jb. 4,2 etc. The second clause being a parallel to the first gives a similar meaning. 50 y is wrongdoing as well as distress occasioned by it ; both of them are strange to God's immutability and holiness. And yet he permits these evil men to afflict the chosen people. This is the prophet's perplexity, which he lays before the Lord.
 repetition of the last letter of the previous word ${ }^{88}$. The word ${ }^{\text {a }}$ is used in Is. 21,2.24,16. 33 , I in connection with another inhuman power: the Assyrians. Here it refers to the Chaldeans (according to Budde and his followers to the Assyrians), because of their faithless and rapacious conduct.

תחריש "keepest silent" or "lookest on silently, without interfering"' ; cp. Is. 42,14 ; Ps. 50,2 I. Although this word belongs metrically to the third clause, it refers, in meaning, to the next one.
'21 ע F For the figure of speach cp. Is. 49,19; Ps. 35,25; Lam. 2,16. Cp. also Plautus, Bacch. I, 8, 28 "animam alicuius exsorbere". For $\begin{gathered}\text { y } \\ \text { cp. the same word in v. } 4 \text { (see above). }\end{gathered}$

צדיק מעמו dotion and Symmachus have tòv סixatórzeov cưroũ. Ward ${ }^{\text {s5 }}$ and Duhm ${ }^{88}$ follow the LXX and consider the word as an added gloss, because it was not thought that one fully righteous could be swallowed up by the wicked. Most of the scholars keep it as natural and expressive. The man that is more rigliteous than the Chaldean oppressor seems

[^2]to be, generally ${ }^{87}$, the righteous of all the suffering nations. Particularly, however, the righteous of Israel may be in the prophet's mind. Delitzsch and Keil think that the persons intended are the godly portion of Israel, who suffer with the guilty ${ }^{88}$. With all their wickedness the people of Yahweln are better than the Chaldeans. How, then, can Yahweh justify himself for making the present choice? The same perplexed questioning is continued in the next verse.
V. 13 is made up of four tetrameters.
V. 14

M
ותעטה אדם בדגי דים כרמש כא־מש5 בו:



V Et facies homines quasi pisces maris, et quasi reptile non habens principem.

T For thou makest men like fish of the sea, like reptiles with no ruler.

 God by showing the indignity with which the people are treated. His deep complaint comes as a consequence of Xahweh's indifference to the tyranny of the wicked over the righteous.

בדגי הים Defenseless, without rights, readily taken by the skillful fisherman.
'ג צ The LXX prefixes a' (xai). They are as reptiles, despised, and without protector. The term is, in strictness, inclusive of both land and water reptiles (see Gen. I, 26. 6,7; IK. 4,33; here it denotes especially small marine creatures (Ps. 104,25).
 collectively, the may-refer to the 19 as well us the 127 . The people seem to be deprived of God's care and left to be the prey of the spoiler, as if of little worth (cp. Is. 63,19). They are reduced to a state of confusion and they lack all organization for self protection (cp. Pr. 6,7 of ants, 30,27 of locusts). The Lord controls the movements of the Chaldeans, and is in a sense responsible for their conduct (cp. Jb. 9,24) ; but if they have gone beyond the divine commission (cp. Is. $47,6,7.10,7$ ), why does he not interfere?

[^3]88. The Pulpit Comm., p. 4 .
89. Op. cit., p. 34.
V. 14 is made $u p$ of one tetrameter and one trimeter.
-V. 15
ב בלֹה בהכה העלה ״גרהו בחרמו ויאשפחו במכמרתו ע5 בן ישמח :




V Totum in hamo sublevavit, traxit illud in sagena sua, et congregavit in rete suum. Super hoc laetabitur et exultabit ;

T He brings them all up with the hook; He drags them away in his net, and he gathers them up in his seine. So he rejoices and exults ;

For the rendering of the LXX ouvtéhetav see above (v. 9). For the metaphor here used cp. Jer. r6,16. See also Am. 4,2.

העת The short vowels $\because \because$ have been changed into $\because$ before
 culty, which he meets in the metre, by omitting הรעה החת. According to him these words are inappropriate, as the Chaldean captures were wholesale, and there is no sacrifice to the hook ${ }^{90}$.

וגרדו The I,XX prefixes a 1 (xai). The verb literally means "sweep along" (Pr. 21,7).
 $\beta$ クпбтюо ( $=$ cast-net).

במעמרחת The rendering of the LXX ( $\sigma x \gamma \eta v \eta$ ) gives the Hebrew (which occuts only here, v. 16 and, with one vowel different, in Is. 19,8 (מבמש:n) the meaning of a large drag - net, or seine (cp. the metaphorical meaning of the verb ooynveve "to sweep clear" in Herod. III, 449 al., of conquering a country).
'ג verse. His rejoice comes of his successful haul, unclouded, as if it should be, by any sense of insecurity or any fear of a Nemesis. His fishing implements, having satisfied him with the accumulation of countries, peoples, and booty, come in the following verse to the point of being deified.
V. 15 gives two pentameters $(3: 2 \text { and } 2: 3)^{9 x}$.

[^4]V. 16

:




V Propterea immolabit sagenae suae, et sacrificabit reti suo; quia in ipsis incrassata est pars ejus, et cibus ejus electus.

T So he sacrifices to his net and makes offerings to his seine; for through them his portion is fat, and his food is abundant.
 to which the Chaldean sacrifices are his armies or his weapons of war, generally his power. Herodotus (IV. 59,60) states of sacrifices which the Scythians offered to the scimitar, the symbol of the war-god Ares; see also Justin, Hist., 43,3. But this is not the case in the present verse. What we have here is a figure of speech expressive of the fact that the Chaldeans deified their own prowess (see above v. Ir). In reality, the Chaldeans were loyal and devoted worshippers of Marduk, Nebo and Shamash, as it is shown by the inscriptions of Nabopolassar and his son Nebuchadrezzar (cp. also Is. $46, \mathrm{I}$ ). The description, evidently, is made from the standpoint of a Hebrew prophet ${ }^{92}$.

Only again in Ex. 30,4. 36,1 for the more usual בהד םב; the fem. בת בת is also found three times, Lev. 5,22; Num. I3,19 and Jer. 5,17.

בריאה is a preferable reading, as $\pi$ seems to be a dittograph of the first letter of the following word. In Hebrew there are two synonyms for "fat", which are perhaps best distinguished by the rendering I gave above. Driver translates "his portion is rich, and his food fat" ${ }^{\text {"93 }}$.

The v. 16 gives two pentameters.
V. 17

M
העת כן יריק חרמו ותמיד צהרג גוים צא יחמות :


92. New - Century Bible, p. 73 f. Westm. Comm., p. 779 . Intern. Crit. Comm., p. I2 "the sacrifice was to the gods of war, sueh as Marduk and Adad and Ishtar".
93. New - Century Bible, p. 74.

V Propter hoc ergo expandit sagenam suam, et semper interficere gentes non parcet.

T Shall he for ever unsheath his sword, and continuously slay nations unsparingly?

ג The Versions give an affirmative rendering. Such a rendering is followed by Duhm (עוֹת The M. gives the following meaning "will he therefore empty his net ...." ? It is consequent to the fishing metaphor, but it is based on
 חרבו (Giesebrecht, Wellhausen, Nowack, Marti ${ }^{95}$, Smith ${ }^{96}$, Driver ${ }^{97}$, Stonehouse ${ }^{98}$ et al.) which give a better meaning. The 0510 is suggested by the parallel word 7 חמ, whereas the is demanded by the להרג in spite of the preceding metaphor of fishing. For 1 ות

 by the following $\dot{\alpha} \mu \varphi \rho i \beta \lambda \eta \sigma \tau o o v$.

וממיד The $M$ division of the $v$. seems better than that after ותמיד. It corresponds to the parallelism and it is supported by the Versions.

1175 For the so-called periphrastic future 1175 cp . the similar in Hos. 9,13; Is. 10,32; Ps. 32,9. 49,15 etc. The readings ir for
 be very probable, if they were based on the Versions.

5im Cp. Lev. 1,17 ; Is. 30,14 ; Ps. 35,8 . The idea in the v . is that the Chaldean carried off his booty and captives and secured them in his own territory, and then set out on new expeditions to aquire fresh plunder and continue his work of destruction. The prophet, at last, discontinues the use of figurative language, and inquires whether the Chaldeans are to be permitted to complete their career of violence. The prophet is, indeed, perplexed. Is there no solution? He is not yet ready to give up, aud determines to await a divine solution.
V. 17 has one trimeter and one pentameter $(3: 2)^{100}$.
94. Op. cit., p. 38.
95. At Stonehouse, op. cit., p. 183.
96. Expositor's Bible, p. 133.
97. New - Century Bible, p. 74.

99. At Stonehouse, op, cit., p. 184.
roo. See, however, Duhm, op. cit., p. 38 and Westm. Comm., p. 170.


[^0]:    65. Stonehouse, ibid.
    66. Cambridge Bible, p. 7o. New-Century Bible, p. 71.
    67. Op. cit., p. 28.
    68. Intern. Crit. Corum., p. ro f.
    69. At The Pulpit Comm., p. 3.
    
[^1]:    70. Pfeiffer, op. cit. p. 599.
    71. Expositor's Bible, p. 124.
    72. Op. cit., p. $4^{1}$.
    73. Westm. Comm., p. 177.
[^2]:    83. Rahlfs' Septuaginta, vol. II, p. 534.
    84. Op. cit., p. 32.
    85. Intern. Crit. Comm.: pp. II and I2. Note also the omission of the half of the v. I3, evidently by mistake.
    86. Op. cit., p. 32.
[^3]:    87. Westm. Comm., p. 178. New-Century Bible, $p$. 72 I.
[^4]:    9o. Intern, Crit. Comm., p, 12.
    9r. Westm. Comm., p. ryo. Duhm, op. cit., pp. 34 and 36 .

